# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

#### SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

### A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): February 4, 2019

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: SWL-2018-00430 Lot 10 Westpark Square AJD

C. PRO	DJECT LOCATION	AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
--------	----------------	-----------------------------

State: Arkansas County/parish/borough: Benton City: Bentonville

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 36.358794°, Long. -94.224927°

Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83/UTM Zone 15, 4024441.50 Northing, 390100.3 Easting

Name of nearest waterbody: McKisic Creek.

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Illinois River.

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 11110103.

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different

## D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: January 11, 2019

Field Determination. Date(s): October 29, 2018 by Pollution Management, Inc. (PMI)

# SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

JD form

#### A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
There are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

## 1. Waters of the U.S.

	viaters of the e.s.	
a.	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review	area (check all that apply): $^{1}$

□ TNWs, including territorial seas
 □ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
 □ Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 □ Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 □ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 □ Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

# b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.

Wetlands: acres.

#### c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on:

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

## 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: **Refer to Supporting documentation presented in Section III.F** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

# SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

### 1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

#### 2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

### B. Characteristics of Tributary (That Is Not a TNW) and Its Adjacent Wetlands (If Any):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

#### 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i)	General Area Conditions: Watershed size: Drainage area:			
		rage annual rainfall: inches rage annual snowfall: inches		
(ii)		Relationship with TNW:  Tributary flows directly into TNW.  Tributary flows through tributaries before entering TNW.  Project waters are river miles from TNW.  Project waters are river miles from RPW.  Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.  Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from RPW.  Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from RPW.		
		Identify flow route to TNW <sup>5</sup> : Tributary stream order, if known:		
	(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):  Tributary is: Natural  Artificial (man-made). Explain:		
		Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:		

<sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

		Ave Ave	rage rage	coperties width: depth: side slo	feet feet	spect t	to top of ban	k (estimate	e):				
		Primary t	tribu	tary sub	strate co	mpos	ition (check	all that ap	ply)	):			
			Silt				Sands	1,				C	Concrete
			Col	bbles			Gravel					N	Лиск
				drock			Vegetation	Type/%	cov	er.			
				ner. Expl	ain:		Vegetation	. 13pc/70	•	<b>C1.</b>			
		Presence Tributary	of r	ndition/st un/riffle/ ometry:	tability [ /pool co	mplex	nighly erodin es. Explain erage slope)	:	ng l	banks]. ]	Explaii	n:	
	, ,		avei cribe	rage nun e flow re	nber of f gime:		vents in revi	ew area/ye	ear:				
		Surface f											
		Subsurfa	ce fl	ow: E	xplain fi	nding	s:						
			Dy	e (or oth	er) test p	perfor	med:						
		Tributary	Bed	d and ba	nks		: ators that ap	ply):					
		J		clear, na	atural lin	e imp	ressed on th	e bank 🔲	tl	ne preser	nce of l	litt	ter and debris
		I		changes	in the c	harac	ter of soil		d	estructio	n of te	erre	estrial vegetation
		J		shelving	5				tl	ne preser	nce of v	wr	ack line
		I		vegetati	on matte	ed dov	vn, bent, or a	absent 🗌	S	ediment	sorting	3	
		I		leaf litte	r disturb	ed or	washed awa	ıy 🗌	S	cour			
		I		sedimen	ıt deposi	tion			n	nultiple o	bserve	ed	or predicted flow events
		I		water st	aining				a	brupt cha	ange in	n p	lant community
		J		other (li	st):								
			Dis	scontinuo	ous OHV	VM. <sup>7</sup>	Explain:						
		If factors	Hig	gh Tide I	Line ind	icated	by:		<b>l</b> ear	n High W	Vater N	Лaı	a jurisdiction (check all that apply): rk indicated by:
			_			_	shore objec	_		urvey to			
		J					posits (fores	hore) [_		hysical r			
		, i	_			gs/cha	aracteristics		V	egetatior	ı lınes/	/ch	nanges in vegetation types.
		l I		tidal gau	_								
		ı		other (li									
(iii)		<b>mical Ch</b> racterize t Explain:				color	is clear, disc	olored, oil	y fi	lm; wate	r quali	ity	; general watershed characteristics, etc.).
(iv)		_	ara	cteristic	s. Char	nel s	upports (ch		ıt a <sub>]</sub>	pply):			
		Wetland					type, averag	e widui):					
		., caula		5. Ciiai		-5.							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

		Habitat for:	
		Federally Listed species. Explain findings:	
		Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:	
		Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:	
		Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:	
Che	aract	teristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW	
(i)		ysical Characteristics:  General Wetland Characteristics:	
	()	Properties:	
		Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain:	
		Wetland quality. Explain:	
		Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:	
	(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:	
		Flow is: Explain:	
		Surface flow is: Characteristics:	
		Subsurface flow: Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:	
	(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:  Directly abutting	
		_	
		Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:	
		Ecological connection. Explain:	
		Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:	
	(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW	
		Project wetlands are river miles from TNW.  Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.	
		Flow is from:	
		Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the floodplain.	
(ii)		emical Characteristics:	
		aracterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed chara .). Explain:	cteristics
		entify specific pollutants, if known:	
(iii)	Bio	ological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):	
()		Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):	
		Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:	
		Federally Listed species. Explain findings:	
		Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:	
		Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:	
		Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:	
Cha		teristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)	
		wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: proximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.	
		r each wetland, specify the following:	
		Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres) Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)	
		Directly abutos: (1/11) Size (ill acres)	

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

3.

2.

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT
	APPLY):

1.	1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:				
	TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.				
	Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.				
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.				
	Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:				
	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional				
	Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: .				
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):				
	Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).				
	Other non-wetland waters: acres.				
	Identify type(s) of waters:				

	3.	Non	-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Prov	vide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):
			Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
			Other non-wetland waters: acres.
			Identify type(s) of waters:
	4.	Wet	lands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
			Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
			Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
			Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
			Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	5.	Wet	lands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Prov	ride acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	6.	Wet	lands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Prov	vide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	7.	Imp	oundments of jurisdictional waters. <sup>9</sup>
		As a	general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
			Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
			Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
			Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
E.	OR	DES	ED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION TRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK AT APPLY): <sup>10</sup>
			ch are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
			n which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
		whic	ch are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
		Inte	rstate isolated waters. Explain:
		Othe	er factors. Explain:
	Ide	ntify '	water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
		-	estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
			utary waters: linear feet width (ft).
		Othe	er non-wetland waters: acres.
	_	]	Identify type(s) of waters:
		Wet	lands: acres.

 <sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.
 9 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 10 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

F.	NO	N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
		If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
	~	Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
		Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
		Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Other: (explain, if not covered above): The review area (Project Property) includes a 0.05-acre isolated wetland (depression) in the center of the property that meets the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements but has no hydrologic connection to any other waters of the United States (WOTUS). On the west side of the property there is an approximately 125-linear-foot swale (no bed, bank, or OWHM) that runs from North to South on the property and has no connection to any other WOTUS.
	(i.e.	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors, presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment eck all that apply):
		Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
		Lakes/ponds: acres.
		Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
		Wetlands: acres.
		vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a ing is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):
		Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
		Lakes/ponds: acres.
		Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
		Wetlands: acres.
SE	CTIC	ON IV: DATA SOURCES.
A.	SUI	PPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and nested, appropriately reference sources below):
	req.	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Pollution Management, Inc. (PMI), Wetland
	V	Delineation, 2018.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Pollution Management, Inc. (PMI), Wetland Delineation,
		2018.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
		Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
		Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
		Corps navigable waters' study:
	~	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: 11110103, Illinois River
	•	USGS NHD data.
		USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
	~	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24K, Bentonville South
		USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:
	~	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS, NWI on-line database
		State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
		FEMA/FIRM maps:
		100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
	<b>&gt;</b>	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Pollution Management, Inc. (PMI), Wetland Delineation, 2018, ARCMAO Regulatory Data, Google Earth Pro Data, 2018.  or  Other (Name & Date): Pollution Management, Inc. (PMI), Wetland Delineation, 2018.
		Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
		Applicable/supporting case law:
		Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
		Other information (please specify):
		/L-age obeau)/.

Li Elli	February 4, 2019
Jim Ellis	Date
Project Manager	

**B.** ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: The property covered by the approved jurisdictional determination is located in Bentonville Arkansas, approximately 0.2 miles northwest of the intersection between Southwest I Street and Southwest 14th Street, a highly developed commercial/industrial area of the city. The hydrology and vegetation of the area has been highly modified in the past from prior

development.